

1 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
2 Richard W. Slack (*pro hac vice*)
(richard.slack@weil.com)
3 Jessica Liou (*pro hac vice*)
(jessica.liou@weil.com)
4 Matthew Goren (*pro hac vice*)
(matthew.goren@weil.com)
5 767 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10153-0119
6 Tel: 212 310 8000
Fax: 212 310 8007

7 KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP
8 Jane Kim (#298192)
(jkim@kbkllp.com)
9 David A. Taylor (#247433)
(dtaylor@kbkllp.com)
10 Thomas B. Rupp (#278041)
(trupp@kbkllp.com)
11 650 California Street, Suite 1900
San Francisco, CA 94108
12 Tel: 415 496 6723
Fax: 650 636 9251

13
14 *Attorneys for Debtors and Reorganized Debtors*

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

In re:

PG&E CORPORATION,

- and -

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
COMPANY,**

Debtors.

- Affects PG&E Corporation
 Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company
 Affects both Debtors

* *All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case,
No. 19-30088 (DM).*

Entered on Docket

April 08, 2022

EDWARD J. EMMONS, CLERK
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



Signed and Filed: April 7, 2022

DENNIS MONTALI
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM)

Chapter 11

(Lead Case) (Jointly Administered)

**ORDER GRANTING DRRT'S AMENDED
MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM ORDERS
CONCERNING REORGANIZED DEBTORS'
ELEVENTH AND THIRTEENTH
SECURITIES CLAIMS OMNIBUS
OBJECTIONS (CLAIMS BARRED BY THE
STATUTE OF REPOSE)**

[Re: Dkt. Nos. 11734, 11867, 11911, 12033]

1 Upon the *Amended Motion For Relief From Orders By Default Disallowing and Expunging*
2 *Proofs of Claims Pursuant To Reorganized Debtors' Eleventh and Thirteenth Securities Claims*
3 *Omnibus Objections (Claims Barred by the Statute of Repose)* [Dkt. No. 11734] (the “**Motion**”); and
4 this Court having jurisdiction to consider the Motion and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28
5 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, the Order Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges,
6 General Order 24 (N.D. Cal.), and Bankruptcy Local Rule 5011-1(a); and consideration of the Motion
7 and the requested relief being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper
8 before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and this Court having found and determined
9 that notice of the Motion as provided to the parties listed therein is reasonable and sufficient under the
10 circumstances, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and this Court having
11 determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion establish just cause for the relief
12 sought; and upon all of the proceedings had before this Court and after due deliberation and sufficient
13 cause appearing therefor,

14 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

15 1. The Motion is granted for the reasons stated on the record.
16 2. The *Order Disallowing and Expunging Proofs of Claim Pursuant to Reorganized*
17 *Debtors' Eleventh Securities Claims Omnibus Objection (Claims Barred by the Statute of Repose)* [Dkt.
18 No. 11216] and the *Order Disallowing and Expunging Proofs of Claim Pursuant to Reorganized*
19 *Debtors' Thirteenth Securities Claims Omnibus Objection (Claims Barred by the Statute of Repose)*
20 [Dkt. No. 11315] are hereby modified as to the proofs of claim filed by the DRRT Claimants (as set forth
21 in the Motion), identified in Exhibit A hereto, to reinstate such proofs of claim insofar as they assert
22 claims arising under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended,
23 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder.

24 3. The *Reorganized Debtors' Eleventh Securities Claims Omnibus Objection (Claims*
25 *Barred by the Statute of Repose)* [Dkt. No. 11014] and the *Reorganized Debtors' Thirteenth Securities*
26 *Claims Omnibus Objection (Claims Barred by the Statute of Repose)* [Dkt. No. 11085] continue to be
27 sustained as to claims held by the DRRT Claimants arising under Section 11 of the Securities Act of
28 1933.

4. This Order is without prejudice to the rights of the Reorganized Debtors to object to the proofs of claim filed by the DRRT Claimants on any other grounds.

5. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to resolve any disputes or controversies arising from this Order.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

Dated: April 4, 2022

MEYER LAW GROUP LLP

/s/ Brent D. Meyer

Brent D. Meyer

Attorney for DRRT Claimants

*** END OF ORDER ***